arXiv:math/0605145v2 [math.OA] 21 Feb 2008

On twisted Fourier analysis and convergence of Fourier series on discrete groups

Erik Bédos*, Roberto Conti**

February 21, 2008

Abstract

We study norm convergence and summability of Fourier series in the setting of reduced twisted group C^*-algebras of discrete groups. For amenable groups, Følner nets give the key to Fejér summation. We show that Abel-Poisson summation holds for a large class of groups, including e.g. all Coxeter groups and all Gromov hyperbolic groups. As a tool in our presentation, we introduce notions of polynomial and subexponential H-growth for countable groups w.r.t. proper scale functions, usually chosen as length functions. These coincide with the classical notions of growth in the case of amenable groups.

MSC 1991: 22D10, 22D25, 46L55, 43A07, 43A65

Keywords: twisted group C^*-algebra, Fourier series, Fejér summation, Abel-Poisson summation, amenable group, Haagerup property, length function, polynomial growth, subexponential growth.

* partially supported by the Norwegian Research Council.
Address: Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo, P.B. 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: bedos@math.uio.no.

** Address: Mathematics, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW, 2308, Australia.
E-mail: roberto.conti@newcastle.edu.au.

1 Introduction

Let T be a compact abelian group and $G = \widehat{T}$ denote its dual Letting $C(T)$, the continuous complex functions on T, act as multiplication operators on $L^2(T)$, and identifying $L^2(T)$ with $\ell^2(G)$ via Fourier transform, one obtains $C_r^*(G)$, the reduced group C*- algebra of G, which is generated by the translation operators $\lambda(g)$ on $\ell^2(G)$. In the same way, $L^\infty(T)$ corresponds to $vN(G)$, the group von Neumann algebra of G. In this picture, the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ becomes the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Now, $C_r^*(G)$ and $vN(G)$ make sense for any discrete group G and may then be thought of as dual objects associated with G. Ever since the pioneering work of Murray and von Neumann, such group algebras (and their locally compact analogues) have been an important source of examples in operator algebra theory. More recently, they have also inspired several concepts, results and conjectures in noncommutative geometry, as illustrated in [23, 86, 48]. In many situations (see e.g. [6, 7, 16, 63, 40, 64, 65, 60, 61]), it appears to be useful to consider also twisted versions of these algebras, $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ and $vN(G,\sigma)$, where σ is a 2-cocycle on G with values in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, the generators being now twisted translation operators $\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ acting on $\ell^2(G)$ and satisfying $\Lambda_\sigma(g)\Lambda_\sigma(h) = \sigma(g,h)\Lambda_\sigma(gh)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Except in trivial cases, these twisted algebras are noncommutative, even if $G = \widehat{T}$ is abelian, and can not be studied by classical methods. A simple, but very popular example is $G = \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $\sigma_\Theta : \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{T}$ given by $\sigma_\Theta(x,y) = \exp(i\, x^t\, \Theta\, y)$ for some $N \times N$ real matrix Θ, the resulting $C_r^*(G,\sigma_\Theta)$ being then called a noncommutative N-torus whenever σ_Θ is not symmetric.

Given any result in harmonic analysis on a compact abelian group T which may be reformulated as a result about $C_r^*(\widehat{T})$ (or $vN(\widehat{T})$), one may wonder whether this result carries over to $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ (or $vN(G,\sigma)$). As our starting point, we consider the basic problem that the Fourier series of a function $f \in C(T)$ does not necessarily converge uniformly (to f). The usual way to remedy for this defect, at least when $T = \mathbb{T}$, is either to assume that f is C^1, or more generally, that $\widehat{f} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, or to follow ideas of Abel, Cesaro, Poisson and Fejér, and introduce different kind of summation processes for Fourier series.

Let us briefly review here these summation processes when $T = \mathbb{T}$, so $G = \mathbb{Z}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ set $e_k(z) = z^k$ ($z \in \mathbb{T}$), so that for $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, the (formal) Fourier series of f is given by $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k)e_k$.

Let (φ_n) be a sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$M_n(f) := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi_n(k)\widehat{f}(k)e_k, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{T}),$$

this series being clearly absolutely convergent with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Each M_n is then a bounded linear map from $(C(\mathbb{T}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ into itself, satisfying $\|M_n\| \leq \|\varphi_n\|_2$.

Elementary analysis shows that $M_n(f)$ converges uniformly (necessarily to f) for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $\varphi_n \to 1$ pointwise on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\sup_n \|M_n\|$ is finite, in which case one could say that $C(\mathbb{T})$ has the summation property with respect to (φ_n). The main difficulty in a concrete situation is to compute the operator norms $\|M_n\|$, or at least to get good estimates for them (the problem being that in cases of interest $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \to \infty$).

The usual convergence problem of Fourier series consists of looking at $\varphi_n(k) = d_n(k) := 1$ if $|k| \leq n$ and 0 otherwise, in which case $\|M_n\| \to \infty$. In the case of Fejér summation, one considers instead $\varphi_n(k) = f_n(k) := 1 - \frac{|k|}{n}$ if $|k| \leq n-1$ and 0 otherwise. Then $\|M_n\| = 1$ for all n, and it follows that the Fourier series of any f in $C(\mathbb{T})$ is uniformly Fejér summable to f. For Abel-Poisson summation, one picks a sequence (r_n) in the interval $(0,1)$ converging to 1 and considers $\varphi_n(k) = p_n(k) := r_n^{|k|}$. Alternatively, one can introduce $p_r(k) = r^{|k|}$ for $r \in (0,1)$ and the associated operator M_r defined in the obvious way, and let $r \to 1$ as is usually done. (We will use nets instead of sequences in the sequel to accomodate for such situations). Again $\|M_n\| = 1 = \|M_r\|$ for all n and all r, hence the Fourier series of any f in $C(\mathbb{T})$ is uniformly Abel-Poisson summable to f.

The proofs of these results usually invoke the Fejér kernel F_n (writing $M_n(f) = F_n * f$) and the Poisson kernel P_r (writing $M_r(f) = P_r * f$), which have nicer behaviour than the Dirichlet kernel D_n. In fact, as they are both non-negative, their Fourier transforms $\widehat{F_n} = f_n$ and $\widehat{P_r} = p_r$ are *positive definite* functions on $\mathbb{Z}$ (while $\widehat{D_n} = d_n$ is not), and this sole fact implies that $\|M_n\| = 1$ for all n. This way of establishing the key property for a summation process is not new (and is also relevant when considering $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ instead of $C(\mathbb{T})$): see e.g. [32, Section 1]. It has the interesting feature that it generalizes to a broader context.

Consider now some reduced twisted group C^*-algebra $A = C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ associated to a discrete group G. To each element $x \in A$ on may naturally define its (formal) Fourier series $\sum_{g \in G} \widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ (see Section 2). In this paper, we address the following problems:

i) giving conditions ensuring that this series converges in operator norm (necessarily to x).

ii) establishing the existence of summation processes on A.

Concerning i), it is clear that the condition $\widehat{x} \in \ell^1(G)$ provides one natural answer. In classical theory, the degree of smoothness of x is reflected in some stronger decay condition on $\widehat{x}$, with $x \in C^\infty$ corresponding to $\widehat{x}$ having rapid

decay. Inspired by the work of P. Jolissaint [54] on groups with the rapid decay property (with respect to some length function) and its twisted version [17], we illustrate in Section 3 how i) may also be answered by introducing some decay conditions (w.r.t. some weight function on G), which involve not only the Fourier coefficients of an element, but also G. To illustrate the applicability of these conditions, we use ideas from U. Haagerup's paper [42] and introduce notions of polynomial and subexponential H-growth for a countable group G. These notions of H-growth are defined w.r.t. to a proper (scale) function on G, which is commonly taken to be a length function. Instead of using (the square root of) the cardinality $|E|$ of a finite nonempty subset E of G to measure growth, we use the "Haagerup content" $c(E)$ of E, which may be defined as follows :

$$c(E) = \sup \Big\{ \Big\| \sum_{g\in E} a_g \lambda(g) \Big\| \mid \ a_g \in \mathbb{C} \ (g \in E), \ \sum_{g\in E} |a_g|^2 = 1 \Big\}$$

i.e. $c(E)$ is the norm of the natural embedding of $\ell^2(E)$ into $C_r^*(G)$. This number satisfies $1 \leq c(E) \leq |E|^{1/2}$. Moreover, $c(E) = |E|^{1/2}$ for all E whenever G is amenable [72, 79]. Hence, one recovers the usual notions of growth in the case of amenable groups (and proper length functions). Our main contribution concerning problem i) may then be summarized as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ be a proper function.*

If G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L), then there exists some $s > 0$ such that the Fourier series of $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ converges to x in operator norm whenever $\sum_{g\in G} |\widehat{x}(g)|^2 \, (1 + L(g))^s < \infty$.

If G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L), then the Fourier series of $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ converges to x in operator norm whenever there exists some $t > 0$ such that $\sum_{g\in G} |\widehat{x}(g)|^2 \, \exp(tL(g)) < \infty$.

To mention just one example here, the first statement in this theorem applies when G is a free group on n generators and L is the canonical word-length function on G, in which case one may choose any $s > 3$.

As an intermediate step before discussing problem ii), we study multipliers on $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ in Section 4, and pay attention to those which transform each element of $C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ into an element having an operator norm convergent Fourier series. These special multipliers are used to define summation processes in Section 5. It has been known already since the work of G. Zeller-Meier that some analogue of Fejér summation for Fourier series exists when the group is amenable (cf. [89, Proposition 5.8]; see also [33] for the untwisted case and [88] for $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ with a twist). The direct analogue of

Fejér summation may in fact be obtained in this case after picking a Følner net in G, the existence of such a net being equivalent to the amenability of the group. The precise statement is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $\{F_\alpha\}$ is a Følner net of finite subsets for G. Then*

$$\sum_{g \in F_\alpha \cdot F_\alpha^{-1}} \frac{|gF_\alpha \cap F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|} \, \widehat{x}(g) \Lambda_\sigma(g) \underset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} x \quad \textit{(in operator norm)}$$

for all $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma = 1$, then choosing $F_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ just gives the classical Fejér summation theorem.

The analogue of Abel-Poisson summation is more troublesome, unless the group is $\mathbb{Z}^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, we show the following :

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be 1 or 2, and $|\cdot|$ denote the usual p-norm on $\mathbb{Z}^N$. Let $r \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^N} r^{|g|} \, \widehat{x}(g) \Lambda_\sigma(g) \underset{r \to 1^-}{\longrightarrow} x \quad \textit{(in operator norm)}$$

for all $x \in C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}^N, \sigma)$.

Actually, we also show that the twisted analogue of the Abel-Poisson summation theorem holds for a large class of groups (see Theorems 5.9 and 5.12): it includes for example all Coxeter groups [51] and all Gromov hyperbolic groups [41, 39]. All countable groups having the Haagerup property [20] and having subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. some Haagerup function) are also contained in this class.

On the other hand, the main result of [42] may be interpreted as saying that free groups have some Fejér-like summation property, involving only finitely supported multipliers. We conclude this paper by giving some sufficient conditions for this Fejér property and its twisted versions to hold.

The influence of Haagerup's seminal paper [42] on our work should be evident. We have also benefitted from many of its follow-ups (like [15, 26, 32, 54, 56, 11, 13, 20, 14, 69]). It should finally be noted that Zeller-Meier's result for amenable groups mentioned above is valid in the more general setting of twisted C^*-crossed products by discrete groups and that a proof of the analogue of Fejér summation for usual C^*-crossed products by an action of $\mathbb{Z}$ is given in [27]. (For more on this, see [5]).

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this article G denotes a discrete group and e its identity element.

2.1 On twisted group operator algebras

The basic reference on this subject is [89] (see also [73, 74, 75]). We give here a short review. We follow standard terminology and notation in operator algebras, as may be found for example in [28, 29, 27].

Definition 2.1. *A (normalized)* 2-cocycle *on G with values in $\mathbb{T}$ is a map $\sigma : G \times G \to \mathbb{T}$ such that*

$$\sigma(g,h)\sigma(gh,k) = \sigma(h,k)\sigma(g,hk) \quad (g,h,k \in G)$$

$$\sigma(g,e) = \sigma(e,g) = 1 \qquad (g \in G).$$

The set of all normalized 2-cocycles will be denoted by $Z^2(G,\mathbb{T})$.

Examples of 2-cocycles on $\mathbb{Z}^N$ were given in the Introduction. Up to a natural equivalence (irrelevant for our purposes) they are always of this form ([2, 3]). For other examples, see e.g. [58] (for abelian groups), [71] (for the integer Heisenberg group), [50] (for Coxeter groups), [63] (for Fuchsian groups).

Projective representations associated with 2-cocycles were first considered by I. Schur in the case of finite groups and by G. Mackey in the general case (see e.g. [62, 57]).

Definition 2.2. *A σ-*projective unitary representation *U of G on a (non-zero) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a map from G into the group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ of unitaries on $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$U(g)U(h) = \sigma(g,h)U(gh) \qquad (g,h \in G).$$

We then have $U(e) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ (the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$) and

$$U(g)^* = \overline{\sigma(g,g^{-1})}U(g^{-1}), \quad g \in G.$$

Definition 2.3. *Let $\sigma \in Z^2(G,\mathbb{T})$. The* left regular σ-projective unitary representation *Λ_σ of G on $\ell^2(G)$ is defined by*

$$(\Lambda_\sigma(g)\xi)(h) = \sigma(g,g^{-1}h)\xi(g^{-1}h), \quad \xi \in \ell^2(G),\ g,h \in G.$$

Choosing σ to be the trivial 2-cocycle ($\sigma = 1$) gives the left regular representation of G, which we will denote by λ. Some authors prefer a unitarily equivalent definition of the left regular σ-projective unitary representation of G (and others prefer right versions), but we have chosen to follow [72].

From now on, we fix $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$. Letting $\{\delta_h\}_{h\in G}$ denote the canonical basis of $\ell^2(G)$, we then have

$$\Lambda_\sigma(g)\delta_h = \sigma(g,h)\delta_{gh}, \quad g, h \in G,$$

so, especially, $\Lambda_\sigma(g)\delta = \delta_g$, where $\delta = \delta_e$.

Definition 2.4. *The* reduced twisted group C^*-algebra $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ *(resp. the* twisted group von Neumann algebra $vN(G,\sigma)$*) is the C^*-subalgebra (resp. von Neumann subalgebra) of $B(\ell^2(G))$ generated by the set $\Lambda_\sigma(G)$, that is, the closure in the operator norm (resp. weak operator) topology of the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}(G,\sigma) = Span(\Lambda_\sigma(G))$.*

Definition 2.5. *We let τ denote the linear functional on $vN(G,\sigma)$ given by*

$$\tau(x) = (x\delta, \delta),\ x \in vN(G,\sigma).$$

For $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$, we set $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$ and $\widehat{x} = x\delta \in \ell^2(G)$.

The following fundamental result is well known.

Proposition 2.6. *The functional τ is a faithful, tracial state on $vN(G,\sigma)$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $vN(G,\sigma)$.*

Moreover, the map $x \to \widehat{x}$ is a linear isometry from $(vN(G,\sigma), \|\cdot\|_2)$ to $(\ell^2(G), \|\cdot\|_2)$, which sends $\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ to δ_g for each $g \in G$.

Definition 2.7. *The value $\widehat{x}(g) \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the* Fourier coefficient *of $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ at $g \in G$.*

To justify this definition, we first remark that τ corresponds to integration w.r.t. the normalized Haar measure in the classical case. Hence, we may consider τ as the normalized "Haar functional" on $vN(G,\sigma)$. Then we have

$$\widehat{x}(g) = (x\delta, \delta_g) = (x\delta, \Lambda_\sigma(g)\delta) = \tau(x\Lambda_\sigma(g)^*)$$

for all $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ and $g \in G$. Further, we also record that

$$\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty \le \|\widehat{x}\|_2 = \|x\|_2 \le \|x\|.$$

Definition 2.8. *The (formal)* Fourier series *of $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ is the series*

$$\sum_{g\in G} \widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g).$$

Note that this series does not necessarily converge in the weak operator topology (see [66]). However, the following result follows readily from Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$. Then*

$$x = \sum_{g\in G} \widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g) \quad (w.r.t. \|\cdot\|_2).$$

The Fourier series representation of $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ is unique. More generally, the following holds.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\xi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that the series $\sum_{g\in G} \xi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ converges to some $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$. Then $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$ and $\xi = \widehat{x}$.*

Proof. For any finite subset F of G, set $a_F = \sum_{g\in F} \xi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ and let χ_F denote the characteristic function of F. Then we have $\widehat{a_F} = \xi\chi_F =: \xi_F$. Now the assumption says that $a_F \to x$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$, which implies that $\xi_F \to \widehat{x}$ in ℓ^2-norm. This implies that $\sum_{g\in F} |\xi(g)|^2 \le \|\widehat{x}\|_2^2$ for all finite subset F of G, hence $\xi \in \ell^2(G)$. But then $\xi_F \to \xi$ in ℓ^2-norm and we get $\widehat{x} = \xi$. □

Definition 2.11. *We set*

$$CF(G,\sigma) := \{x \in C_r^*(G,\sigma) \mid \sum_{g\in G} \widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g) \text{ is convergent in operator norm}\}.$$

Proposition 2.12. *If $x \in CF(G,\sigma)$, then its Fourier series necessarily converges to x in operator norm.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.9 and the fact that $\|\cdot\|_2 \le \|\cdot\|$. □

Let $f \in \ell^1(G)$. The series $\sum_{g\in G} f(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ is clearly absolutely convergent in operator norm and we shall denote its sum by $\pi_\sigma(f)$. Then we have $\|\pi_\sigma(f)\| \le \|f\|_1$ and

$$\widehat{\pi_\sigma(f)} = (\sum_{g\in G} f(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g))\delta = \sum_{g\in G} f(g)\delta_g = f.$$

Note that in the sequel, we will use the more suggestive notation π_λ instead of π_1 (since we write λ instead of Λ_1).

Let now $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ and assume that $\widehat{x} \in \ell^1(G)$. Then we get $\widehat{\pi_\sigma(\widehat{x})} = \widehat{x}$, hence $\pi_\sigma(\widehat{x}) = x$. Therefore, $x \in CF(G,\sigma)$ and $\|x\| = \|\pi_\sigma(\widehat{x})\| \le \|\widehat{x}\|_1$.

Summarizing, we get the following.

Proposition 2.13.

$$\{x \in vN(G,\sigma) \mid \widehat{x} \in \ell^1(G)\} = \pi_\sigma(\ell^1(G)) \subseteq CF(G,\sigma).$$

Twisted group operator algebras may alternatively be described with the help of twisted convolution.

Definition 2.14. *Let $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(G)$. The complex function $\xi *_\sigma \eta$ on G given by*

$$(\xi *_\sigma \eta)(h) = \sum_{g\in G} \xi(g)\sigma(g, g^{-1}h)\eta(g^{-1}h),\ h \in G$$

is called the σ-convolution product *of ξ and η.*

As $|(\xi *_\sigma \eta)(h)| \leq (|\xi| * |\eta|)(h),\ h \in G$, it is straightforward to verify that $\xi *_\sigma \eta$ is a well defined bounded function on G satisfying

$$\|\xi *_\sigma \eta\|_\infty \leq \| \, |\xi| * |\eta| \, \|_\infty \leq \|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2.$$

Notice also that $\delta_a *_\sigma \delta_b = \sigma(a,b)\delta_{ab},\ a, b \in G$.

One can now check that if $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$ and $\eta \in \ell^2(G)$, then $x\eta = \widehat{x} *_\sigma \eta$. The usual properties of convolution carries over to twisted convolution. For example, we have

Proposition 2.15. *Let $p \in \{1, 2\}$, $f \in \ell^1(G), \eta \in \ell^p(G)$. Then $f *_\sigma \eta \in \ell^p(G)$ and*

$$\|f *_\sigma \eta\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|\eta\|_p$$

Moreover, the Banach space $\ell^1(G)$ is a Banach $*$-algebra, denoted by $\ell^1(G,\sigma)$, with respect to twisted convolution and involution given by

$$f^*(g) = \overline{\sigma(g, g^{-1})}\, \overline{f(g^{-1})},\ g \in G.$$

As $\pi_\sigma(f)\eta = f *_\sigma \eta$ whenever $f \in \ell^1(G)$ and $\eta \in \ell^2(G)$, the map $\pi_\sigma : \ell^1(G) \to C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ is easily seen to be a faithful $*$-representation of $\ell^1(G,\sigma)$ on $\ell^2(G)$, and $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ is the closure of $\pi_\sigma(\ell^1(G))$ in the operator norm. Moreover, there is a bijective correspondence $U \to \pi_U$ between σ-projective unitary representations of G and non-degenerate $*$-representations of $\ell^1(G,\sigma)$ determined by

$$\pi_U(f) = \sum_{g\in G} f(g)U(g),\ f \in \ell^1(G),$$

(the series above being obviously absolutely convergent in operator norm), the inverse correspondence being given by $U_\pi(g) = \pi(\delta_g), g \in G$. One may then pass to the the enveloping C*-algebra [28] of $\ell^1(G,\sigma)$, which is denoted by $C^*(G,\sigma)$ and called the *full twisted group C^*-algebra associated to* (G,σ). When G is amenable, the extension of π_σ to $C^*(G,\sigma)$ is faithful ([89]), and $C^*(G,\sigma)$ may then be identified with $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ via this isomorphism.

2.2 On amenability, Haagerup property and length functions

Definition 2.16. *The group G is called* amenable *if there exists a left translation invariant state on $\ell^\infty(G)$.*

Amenability of G can be formulated in a huge number of equivalent ways (see [28, 76, 79, 87]). We will make use of the following characterizations. As usual, a complex function φ on G is called *normalized* when $\varphi(e) = 1$.

Theorem 2.17. *The group G is amenable if and only if one of the following conditions holds :*

1) G has a Følner net $\{F_\alpha\}$*, that is, each F_α is a finite non-empty subset of G and we have*

$$\frac{|gF_\alpha \triangle F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|} \underset{\alpha}{\to} 0 \quad \text{for every } g \in G. \tag{1}$$

2) There exists a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ of normalized positive definite functions on G with finite support such that $\varphi_\alpha \to 1$ pointwise on G.

3) There exists a net $\{\psi_\alpha\}$ of normalized positive definite functions in $\ell^2(G)$ such that $\psi_\alpha \to 1$ pointwise on G.

4) $\left| \sum_{g\in G} f(g) \right| \leq \| \sum_{g\in G} f(g)\lambda(g) \|$ for all $f \in \ell^1(G)$.

In the sequel, we will write p.d. instead of positive definite. In the same way, we will write n.d. instead of negative definite (we follow here [8]; n.d. functions are called conditionally negative definite by some authors).

A weakening of 2) in Theorem 2.17 leads to the following concept (see [20]).

Definition 2.18. *The group G is said to have the* Haagerup property *(or to be a-T-menable) if there exists a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ of normalized p.d. functions vanishing at infinity on G (that is, $\varphi_\alpha \in c_0(G)$ for all α) and converging pointwise to* 1.

Clearly, all amenable groups have the Haagerup property. All free groups also have this property, as first established in [42]. We refer to [20] for other examples, as well as many characterizations of the Haagerup property. We will need the following one.

Proposition 2.19. *Assume that G is countable. Then G has the Haagerup property if and only if there exists a* n.d. *function $h : G \to [0, \infty)$ which is* proper*, that is, $h^{-1}([0, t])$ is finite for all $t \geq 0$. We will call such a function h a* Haagerup function *on G.*

Concerning n.d. functions, we recall the following result of Schoenberg (which is used to prove Proposition 2.19; see [8, Theorem 2.2] for a more general statement).

Theorem 2.20. *A function $\psi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is n.d. if and only if $e^{-t\psi}$ is p.d. for all $t > 0$ (equivalently, r^{ψ} is p.d for all $0 < r < 1$).*

An interesting class of functions on a group is the class of length functions (see e.g. [22, 54, 56]).

Definition 2.21. *A function $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ is called a* length *function if $L(e) = 0, L(g^{-1}) = L(g)$ and $L(gh) \leq L(g) + L(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$.*

If G acts isometrically on a metric space (X, d) and $x_0 \in X$, then $L(g) := d(g \cdot x_0, x_0)$ gives a "geometric" length function on G. (All length fuctions can be described in this way). If G is finitely generated and S is a finite generator set for G, then the word-length function $g \to L_S(g)$ (w.r.t. to the letters from $S \cup S^{-1}$) gives a length function on G, which we will call *algebraic*. All such algebraic length functions are equivalent in a natural way.

Length functions may be used to define growth conditions. The reader should consult [47, 54, 76, 87] for more details.

Definition 2.22. *Let L be a length function on G. For $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, set $B_{r,L} := \{ g \in G \mid L(g) \leq r \}$. Then one says that*

G has polynomial growth (w.r.t. L) *if there exist $K, p > 0$ such that $|B_{r,L}| \leq K(1 + r)^p$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$,*

G has subexponential growth (w.r.t. L) *if for any $b > 1$, there is some $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|B_{r,L}| < b^r$ for all $r \geq r_0$.*

Definition 2.23. *Let G be finitely generated. Then G has* polynomial *(resp.* subexponential*)* growth *if it has polynomial (resp. subexponential) growth w.r.t. some (or, equivalently, any) algebraic length on G.*

Note that if G is finitely generated and has polynomial (resp. subexponential) growth w.r.t. to some length function L on G, then G has polynomial (resp. subexponential) growth. In addition, we mention:

Theorem 2.24. *Let G be finitely generated and let S be a generator set.*

1) If G has polynomial growth, then $\{B_{k,L_S}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a Følner sequence for G (see [47]).

2) If G has subexponential growth, then there is a subsequence of $\{B_{k,L_S}\}_{k \geq 0}$ which is a Følner sequence for G (see [47]).

3) G has polynomial growth if and only if G is almost nilpotent (see [76, 87]).
4) G can have subexponential growth without having polynomial growth (see [76, 87]).

Length functions arise naturally in connection with the Haagerup property.

Proposition 2.25. *Let G be countable. Then G has Haagerup property if and only if it has a Haagerup length function.*

Proof. Assume that G has the Haagerup property. Let h be a Haagerup function on G (cf. Theorem 2.19). Then, as h is n.d., $L = h^{1/2}$ is also n.d. (see [8, Corollary 2.10]). Further, L is clearly proper. Finally, L is a length function on G : this follows from [8, Proposition 3.3] (the standing assumption that G is abelian is not used in the proof of this proposition). Hence L is a Haagerup length function on G. The converse implication is trivial. □

In some cases, Haagerup length functions are geometrically given : this happens for example when G acts isometrically and metrically properly on a tree, or on a $\mathbb{R}$-tree, [10, 84]. In the case of finitely generated groups, Haagerup length functions are often algebraically given : this is at least true for free abelian groups [8], free groups [42, 20] and Coxeter groups [12].

3 Convergence of Fourier series and decay properties

Throughout the rest of this paper, we let $\sigma \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ and denote by $\mathcal{K}(G)$ the set of all complex functions on G having finite support.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a subspace of $\ell^2(G)$ which contains $\mathcal{K}(G)$, let $\|\cdot\|'$ be a norm on $\mathcal{L}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$. When F is finite subset of G, set $\xi_F = \xi\chi_F$, where χ_F denotes the characteristic function of F.*

We say that $\xi \to 0$ at infinity w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite subset F_0 of G such that $\|\xi_F\|' < \varepsilon$ for all finite subsets F of G which are disjoint from F_0.

Definition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a subspace of $\ell^2(G)$ which contains $\mathcal{K}(G)$. We say that (G, σ) has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$) if there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|'$ on $\mathcal{L}$ such that the following two conditions hold:*

i) For each $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\xi \to 0$ at infinity w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$.

ii) The map $f \to \pi_\sigma(f)$ from $(\mathcal{K}(G), \|\cdot\|')$ to $(C_r^*(G,\sigma), \|\cdot\|)$ is bounded.

We will simply say that G has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$) if $(G,1)$ has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$).

Due to the following proposition, it is sufficient to establish decay properties only for G in all natural cases we are aware of.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that G has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$ and that $\| |f| \|' = \|f\|'$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then (G,σ) has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$.*

Proof. Let $C > 0$ be the norm of the map $f \to \pi_\lambda(f)$ from $(\mathcal{K}(G), \|\cdot\|')$ to $(C_r^*(G), \|\cdot\|)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\eta \in \ell^2(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi_\sigma(f)\eta\|_2 = \|f *_\sigma \eta\|_2 &\leq \| \, |f| * |\eta| \, \|_2 = \|\pi_\lambda(|f|)|\eta| \, \|_2 \\ &\leq C\| \, |f| \, \|' \| \, |\eta| \, \|_2 = C\|f\|' \, \|\eta\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\|\pi_\sigma(f)\| \leq C\|f\|'$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. As the first condition in Definition 3.2 is independent of σ, the assertion follows. □

The above proposition has previously been established by I. Chatterji [17] (in a special situation).

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that (G,σ) has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$.*

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$. Then the series $\sum_{g\in G} \xi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ converges in operator norm to some $a \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ satisfying $\widehat{a} = \xi$. We will denote this a by $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\xi)$.

Letting $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma : \mathcal{L} \to C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ denote the associated map, we then have $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq CF(G,\sigma)$.

Proof. Using that ii) holds, we get that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\| \sum_{g\in F} \xi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g) \| = \|\pi_\sigma(\xi_F)\| \leq C\|\xi_F\|'$$

for any finite subset F of G. Now, using that i) holds, we deduce then immediately that the net $\{\sum_{g\in F} \xi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)\}_F$, indexed over the finite subsets of G ordered by inclusion, satisfies the Cauchy criterion [30, 9.1.6] w.r.t. operator norm. Hence this net converges in operator norm to some $a \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$. But then it also converges to a w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$, hence we have $\widehat{a} = \xi$ by Proposition 2.10, as desired. The last statement follows immediately. □

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that (G,σ) has the $\mathcal{L}$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|'$. Set $\mathcal{L}^\vee(G,\sigma)=\{x\in vN(G,\sigma)\mid \widehat{x}\in\mathcal{L}\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}^\vee(G,\sigma)=\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L})\subseteq CF(G,\sigma).$$

Proof. Let $x\in\mathcal{L}^\vee(G,\sigma)$. From Lemma 3.4 (with $\xi=\widehat{x}$), we get that the Fourier series of x converges in operator norm to $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\widehat{x})\in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ and that $\widehat{\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\widehat{x})}=\widehat{x}$. By uniqueness, this implies that $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\widehat{x})=x$. Thus we have shown that $\mathcal{L}^\vee(G,\sigma)\subseteq\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$. We also know that $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L})\subseteq CF(G,\sigma)$ from Lemma 3.4.

Finally, if $x\in\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$, so $x=\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\xi)$ for some $\xi\in\mathcal{L}$, then Lemma 3.4 says that $\widehat{x}=\xi\in\mathcal{L}$. Hence, $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L})\subseteq\mathcal{L}^\vee(G,\sigma)$. □

It is almost immediate that (G,σ) has the $\ell^1(G)$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_1$. Anyhow, we already saw in Section 2 that the assertions in Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 hold when $\mathcal{L}=\ell^1(G)$.

As another source of examples, we shall now consider weighted spaces. We establish first some notation.

Let $\kappa:G\to[1,\infty), 1\leq p\leq\infty$ and define

$$\mathcal{L}^p_\kappa=\{\xi:G\to\mathbb{C}\mid \xi\kappa\in\ell^p(G)\}\subseteq\ell^p(G),$$

which becomes a Banach space w.r.t. the norm $\|\xi\|_{p,\kappa}=\|\xi\kappa\|_p$. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}^p_\kappa\subseteq\mathcal{L}^q_\kappa$ and $\|\cdot\|_{q,\kappa}\leq\|\cdot\|_{p,\kappa}$ whenever $1\leq p\leq q\leq\infty$, while $\mathcal{L}^p_\gamma\subseteq\mathcal{L}^p_\kappa$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,\kappa}\leq\|\cdot\|_{p,\gamma}$ whenever $\gamma:G\to[1,\infty)$ is such that $\kappa\leq\gamma$.

Definition 3.6. *We say that (G,σ) (resp. G) is κ-decaying if (G,σ) (resp. G) has the $\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$-decay property w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{2,\kappa}$.*

Proposition 3.7. *1) G is κ-decaying if and only if the linear map $f\to\pi_\lambda(f)$ from $(\mathcal{K}(G),\|\cdot\|_{2,\kappa})$ to $(C_r^*(G),\|\cdot\|)$ is bounded. The norm of this map will then be called the* κ-decay constant *of G.*

2) Assume that G is κ-decaying. Then (G,σ) is κ-decaying and we have

$$\{x\in vN(G,\sigma)\mid\widehat{x}\in\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa\}=\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa)\subseteq CF(G,\sigma).$$

Proof. 1) The fact that $\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$ satisfies condition i) in Definition 3.2 w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{2,\kappa}$ is elementary classical analysis.
2) As $\|\,|f|\,\|_{2,\kappa}=\|f\|_{2,\kappa}$ for all $f\in\mathcal{K}(G)$, the first assertion follows from Proposition 3.3. The second is then a consequence of Theorem 3.5. □

Example 3.8. *Assume that G is countable and κ satisfies condition (IS), by which we mean that $\kappa^{-1} \in \ell^2(G)$.*
Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality immediately gives that $\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa \subseteq \ell^1(G)$ and $\|f\|_1 \leq \|\kappa^{-1}\|_2 \, \|f\|_{2,\kappa}, f \in \mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$. As $\|\pi_\lambda(f)\| \leq \|f\|_1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, we get

$$\|\pi_\lambda(f)\| \leq \|\kappa^{-1}\|_2 \, \|f\|_{2,\kappa}, \quad f \in \mathcal{K}(G).$$

Hence, G is κ-decaying (with decay constant at most $\|\kappa^{-1}\|_2$). However, note that in such a case, the conclusion of Proposition 3.7, part 2), brings nothing new as $\pi_\sigma(\mathcal{L}^2_\kappa) \subseteq \pi_\sigma(\ell^1(G))$.

More concretely, assume that G is finitely generated and let L denote any algebraic length function on G. For $t > 0$, set $\kappa_t = \exp(tL^2)$. Then κ_t satisfies (IS) (see e.g. the proof of [22, Proposition 24]). One may also consider $\gamma_a = a^L, a > 1$. Then γ_a is easily seen to satisfy (IS) for all $a > 1$ whenever G has subexponential growth. Hence, G is γ_a-decaying for all $a > 1$ in this case. As we will see later in this section, the same conclusion can still be drawn for many nonamenable groups.

The case $\kappa_{L,s} = (1 + L)^s$ where L is a length function and $s > 0$ has received a lot of attention in connection with the rapid decay property for groups, introduced in [54]. Using our terminology, G has the RD-property (w.r.t. a length function L) if and only if there exists some $s_0 > 0$ such that G is κ_{L,s_0}-decaying. Note that when G is amenable, G has the RD-property (w.r.t. L) if and only if G has polynomial growth (w.r.t. L) (see [54, Corollary 3.1.8] and [86, 18]). When G is finitely generated, one just talks about the RD-property, having in mind that L is then chosen to be any algebraic length function on G.

Much of the interest around the RD-property is due to the following : when G has the RD-property (w.r.t. L), then the canonical image of the Fréchet space $H^\infty_L = \cap_{s>0} \mathcal{L}^2_{\kappa_{L,s}}$ (w.r.t. the obvious family of seminorms), which is thought as representing a space of "smooth" functions on the "dual" of G, is a dense spectral (= inverse-closed) $*$-subalgebra of $C^*_r(G)$. For more about this and the RD-property, see e.g. [55, 56, 53, 86, 17, 18, 61] and references therein. See also the end of this section.

Let now L denote the usual word-length function on a free group $\mathbb{F}_n$. It follows from [42] (see also [54, 86]) that $\mathbb{F}_n$ is $\kappa_{L,2}$-decaying, hence $\mathbb{F}_n$ has the RD-property. This may be seen as a consequence of the fact that $\mathbb{F}_n$ has "polynomial H-growth". To explain this, we begin with a fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *Let E be a non-empty finite subset of G. Define*

$$c(E) = \sup\{ \|\pi_\lambda(f)\| \mid f \in \mathcal{K}(G), \operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq E, \|f\|_2 = 1\}.$$

Then $1 \leq c(E) \leq |E|^{1/2}$.

If G is amenable, then $c(E) = |E|^{1/2}$.

Proof. Note first that if $a \in E$, then $\|\delta_a\|_2 = 1$ and $\|\pi_\lambda(\delta_a)\| = \|\lambda(a)\| = 1$. Hence $c(E) \geq 1$.

Next, we have

$$\|\pi_1(f)\| \leq \|f\|_1 = \sum_{g\in E} |f(g)| \leq |E|^{1/2}(\sum_{g\in E} |f(g)|^2)^{1/2} = |E|^{1/2}\|f\|_2$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq E$. So $c(E) \leq |E|^{1/2}$.

Finally, assume that G is amenable. Set $f = (1/|E|^{1/2})\chi_E$. Then we have $\|f\|_2 = 1$ and $|E|^{1/2} = \|f\|_1 = \|\pi_\lambda(f)\|$ (cf. Theorem 2.17, part 4). Hence we get $|E|^{1/2} \leq c(E)$ and the last assertion follows. □

Obviously, $c(E)$ is what we called the *Haagerup content* of E in the Introduction. We leave to the reader to check that $c(E) \leq c(F)$ whenever $E \subseteq F$ and $c(E \cup F) \leq c(E) + c(F)$ whenever E and F are pairwise disjoint (E, F being finite nonempty subsets of G).

The computation of $c(E)$, or just finding an upper bound for it better than $|E|^{1/2}$ when G is nonamenable, appears to be quite challenging in general. It has been dealt with in some special cases (e.g. [59, 1, 38, 42, 37, 21, 56, 35]), often in connection with the related problem of estimating the norm $\|\pi_\lambda(f)\|$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ (especially when $f = \chi_E$).

We can now measure "H-growth" instead of growth by using the Haagerup content instead of the square root of cardinality for finite subsets of G.

Definition 3.10. *Let G be countable and $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ be proper. Set $B_{r,L} := \{g \in G \,|\, L(g) \leq r\}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then we say that*

G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L) *if there exist $K, p > 0$ such that $c(B_{r,L}) \leq K(1 + r)^p$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$.*

Further, we say that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L) *if for any $b > 1$, there exists some $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $c(B_{r,L}) < b^r$ whenever $r \geq r_0$.*

It is clear from Lemma 3.9 that when G is amenable and L is a proper length function on G, then polynomial (resp. subexponential) H-growth (w.r.t L) reduces to polynomial (resp. subexponential) growth (w.r.t L).

Using the properties of the Haagerup content mentioned above, one cheks without trouble the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Let G be countable and $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ be proper. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, set $A_{k,L} = \{g \in G \,|\, k \leq L(g) < k+1\}$ and $C_L(k) = c(A_{k,L})$ if $A_{k,L}$ is nonempty, $C_L(k) = 0$ otherwise.*

Then G has polynomial H-growth if and only if there exist constants $K, p > 0$ such that $C_L(k) \leq K(1+k)^p$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Further, G has subexponential H-growth if and only if for any $b > 1$, there exists some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_L(k) < b^k$ whenever $k \geq k_0$.

Example 3.12. *Using Lemma 3.11, a careful look into the existing literature provides us with many examples of (nonamenable) groups having polynomial H-growth.*

1) *Let $G = \mathbb{F}_n, n < \infty$, denote a free group and let L denote the natural algebraic length on G. Then we have $C_L(k) \leq k+1$ for all $k \geq 0$ (see [42] for $n = 2$ and [86] for a nice geometric proof of the general case due to T. Steger). Hence G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L).*

2) *More generally, let G denote a Gromov hyperbolic group [41, 39] and let L denote some algebraic length on G. Then G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L). This may be deduced from [54, 46] (see also [70] and [23]) : in the course of the proof that G has the RD property, it is implicitely shown that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $C_L(k) \leq K(1+k)$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

3) *Let (G, S) denote a Coxeter group [51] and let L denote the word-length on G (w.r.t. S). Then $C_L(k) \leq K(1+k)^{\frac{3}{2}P}$ for some $K > 0$ and $P \in \mathbb{N}$, see [35]. Hence G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L). Note that G is nonamenable whenever it is neither finite nor affine [45].*

4) *Let $G = G_1 *_A G_2$ be an amalgamated free product of groups and let L denote the "block" length on G induced by some integer-valued length functions L_j on G_j satisfying $L_j = 0$ on A, $j = 1, 2$, (cf. [78, 10]). If A is finite and each G_j has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L_j), $j = 1, 2$, then, adapting the proof of [54, Theorem 2.2.2 (1)], one can deduce that G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L).*

To produce an example of a nonamenable group G which has subexponential, but not polynomial, H-growth (w.r.t. a length function L), one may proceed as follows. Pick any finitely generated group Γ which has subexponential, but not polynomial growth, and let L_1 denote some word-length function on Γ. Then set $G := \Gamma \times \mathbb{F}_2$ and let L be defined on G by

$L(g_1, g_2) = L_1(g_1) + L_2(g_2)$, L_2 denoting the usual word-length function on $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Our interest in H-growth lies in the following.

Theorem 3.13. *Let G be countably infinite and $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ be proper.*

1) Assume that G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L). Then there exist some $s_0 > 0$ such that (G, σ) is $(1 + L)^{s_0}$-decaying. Especially, if L is a length function, then G has the σ-twisted RD-property (w.r.t. L).

2) Assume that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L). Then (G, σ) is a^L-decaying for all $a > 1$.

To prove this theorem, we will use the following.

Lemma 3.14. *Assume that G is countably infinite and let $\{E_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be a partition of G into finite nonempty subsets.*
Set $c_j = c(E_j)$, $j \geq 0$. Pick $d_j \geq 1$ for each $j \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\frac{c_j}{d_j})^2 < \infty$.
Define $\kappa : G \to [1, \infty)$ by $\kappa = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j \chi_{E_j}$.
Then G is κ-decaying.

Proof. Set $\chi_j = \chi_{E_j}$, $j \geq 0$. For $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi_\lambda(f)\| &= \|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_\lambda(f\chi_j)\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\pi_\lambda(f\chi_j)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \|f\chi_j\|_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{d_j} d_j \|f\chi_j\|_2 \\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{c_j}{d_j}\Big)^2\Big)^{1/2} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j^2 \|f\chi_j\|_2^2\Big)^{1/2} = C\|f\|_{2,\kappa},\end{aligned}$$

where $C = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\frac{c_j}{d_j})^2)^{1/2}$. Hence G is κ-decaying. □

Note that if one also assumes that G is amenable in this lemma, then one realizes easily that κ satisfies (IS), so that the assertion is essentially trivial in this case (cf. Example 3.8).

Proof of Theorem 3.13. For each $k \geq 0$, let $A_{k,L}$ and $C_L(k)$ be defined as in Lemma 3.11.

Define $I = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid A_{k,L} \text{ is nonempty}\}$ and let $\{k_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ denote an enumeration of the elements of I, listed in strictly increasing order. Note that $k_j \geq j$ for all j. Further, for $j \geq 0$, set $E_j = A_{k_j,L}$. Then the family $\{E_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a partition of G in finite nonempty subsets.

For $j \geq 0$, set $c_j = c(E_j)$, i.e. $c_j = C_L(k_j)$.

We will now prove the first assertion. Using Lemma 3.11, we assume therefore that there exist $K, p > 0$ such that $C_L(k) \leq K(1+k)^p$ for all $k \geq 0$. Choose $s_0 > 0$ such that $s_0 > p + \frac{1}{2}$.

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{c_j}{(1+k_j)^{s_0}}\Big)^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K^2 \Big(\frac{(1+k_j)^p}{(1+k_j)^{s_0}}\Big)^2 = K^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+k_j)^{2(s_0-p)}}$$
$$\leq K^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+j)^{2(s_0-p)}} < \infty$$

as $2(s_0 - p) > 1$.

Hence, defining $\kappa : G \to [1, \infty)$ by $\kappa = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+k_j)^{s_0} \chi_{E_j}$, we get from Lemma 3.14 that G is κ-decaying. Now, as $\kappa \leq (1+L)^{s_0}$, this implies that G is $(1+L)^{s_0}$-decaying. Assertion 1) then follows from Proposition 3.7.

Next, assume that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L) and let $a > 1$. Using Lemma 3.11 we choose $b > 1$ such that $b < a$, and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_L(j) < b^j$ whenever $j \geq j_0$.

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{c_j}{a^{k_j}}\Big)^2 \leq \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{b^{k_j}}{a^{k_j}}\Big)^2 = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{b^2}{a^2}\Big)^{k_j} \leq \sum_{k=k_{j_0}}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{b^2}{a^2}\Big)^k < \infty$$

as $b^2/a^2 < 1$.

Hence, defining $\gamma : G \to [1, \infty)$ by $\gamma = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{k_j} \chi_{E_j}$, we get from Lemma 3.14 that G is γ-decaying. Now, as $\gamma \leq a^L$, this implies that G is a^L-decaying. Assertion 2) then follows from Proposition 3.7. □

We may now prove Theorem 1.1 stated in the Introduction.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $L : G \to [0, \infty)$ be a proper function.*

If G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L), then there exists some $s > 0$ such that the Fourier series of $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ converges to x in operator norm whenever $\sum_{g \in G} |\widehat{x}(g)|^2 (1 + L(g))^s < \infty$.

If G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L), then the Fourier series of $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$ converges to x in operator norm whenever there exists some $t > 0$ such that $\sum_{g \in G} |\widehat{x}(g)|^2 \exp(tL(g)) < \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to combine Theorem 3.13 with Proposition 3.7, part 2). □

Example 3.16. *Let G be any of the groups listed in Example 3.12, equipped with the length function L introduced there. As G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L), it follows from Theorem 3.13 that G has the σ-twisted RD-property (w.r.t. L), and also that (G, σ) is a^L-decaying for all $a > 1$.*

We conclude this section with some remarks on the interesting class of weight functions κ satisfying

$$\kappa(e) = 1, \quad \kappa(g^{-1}) = \kappa(g), \quad \kappa(gh) \leq \kappa(g)\kappa(h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Such functions are called "absolute values" in [8], and just "weights" in [83, 36], so we will call them *absolute* weights here. Note that $\kappa^s, s > 0$ is then also an absolute weight. If L is a length function on G, then $(1 + L)^s, s > 0$ and $a^L, a > 1$ are all examples of such absolute weight functions. Conversely, if κ is an absolute weight function, then $\log_a(\kappa)$ is a length function for any $a > 1$.

Absolute weights are related to certain norms on $\mathcal{K}(G)$. If N is a norm on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ satisfying $N(\delta_e) = 1, N(\xi^*) = N(\xi)$, and $N(\xi *_\sigma \eta) \leq N(\xi)N(\eta)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, that is, N is a $*$-algebra norm on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ (w.r.t. σ-twisted convolution and involution), then $\kappa_N(g) := N(\delta_g)$ gives an absolute weight on G. Conversely, one may show (using the first inequality in the next paragraph) that if κ is an absolute weight on G, then $N_\kappa := \| \cdot \|_{1,\kappa}$ gives a norm on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ satisfying the above properties (for any σ).

Now, fix an absolute weight κ on G. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$, it is an easy exercise to verify that

$$|(\xi *_\sigma \eta)\kappa| \leq |\xi\kappa| * |\eta\kappa|.$$

This implies that

$$\|\xi *_\sigma \eta\|_{1,\kappa} \leq \|\xi\|_{1,\kappa}\|\eta\|_{1,\kappa}$$

whenever $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{L}^1_\kappa$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}^1_\kappa$ becomes a Banach $*$-algebra w.r.t. σ-twisted convolution and involution. The problem of determining under which conditions it becomes symmetric as a Banach $*$-algebra has recently been studied G is of polynomial growth (see e.g. [40, 36]).

One may also consider $H^\infty_\kappa(G) := \cap_{s>0} \mathcal{L}^2_{\kappa^s}$, which becomes a Fréchet space (w.r.t. the obvious family of seminorms) and contains $\mathcal{K}(G)$. If G is κ-decaying with decay constant C, then we have

$$\|\xi *_\sigma \eta\|_{2,\kappa} \leq C\|\xi\|_{2,\kappa^2}\|\eta\|_{2,\kappa}$$

whenever $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^2_{\kappa^2}, \eta \in \mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$. Indeed, when $\xi\kappa \in \mathcal{L}^2_\kappa$, we have

$$\|\xi *_\sigma \eta\|_{2,\kappa} \leq \||\xi\kappa| * |\eta\kappa|\|_2 \leq \|\tilde{\pi}_\lambda(\xi\kappa)\|\|\eta\kappa\|_2 \leq C\|\xi\kappa\|_{2,\kappa}\|\eta\|_{2,\kappa} = C\|\xi\|_{2,\kappa^2}\|\eta\|_{2,\kappa}.$$

Assume now that G is κ^{s_0}-decaying for some $s_0 > 0$. Then one deduces from the above inequality (by considering $\xi, \eta \in H^\infty_\kappa(G)$ and replacing κ with κ^s for $s \geq s_0$) that $H^\infty_\kappa(G)$ becomes a $*$-algebra under twisted convolution and involution, hence that $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(H^\infty_\kappa(G))$ is a (dense) $*$-subalgebra of $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$. If $\kappa = 1 + L$ for some length fuction L on G, then our assumption just says that G has property RD w.r.t. L, and $\tilde{\pi}_\sigma(H^\infty_\kappa(G))$ is then a spectral subalgebra of $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ (see [17]. and also [61]), as mentioned earlier in this section in the untwisted case. It is not unlikely that this might be generalized to more general weights.

4 Twisted multipliers

In [42, Definition 1.6] Haagerup introduces the concept of a function which *multiplies* $C^*_r(G)$ into itself. The twisted analogue, which we will need in our discussion of summation processes in the next section, is as follows.

Definition 4.1. *Let φ be a complex function on G. Consider the linear map $M_\varphi : \mathbb{C}(G,\sigma) \to \mathbb{C}(G,\sigma)$ given by*

$$M_\varphi(\pi_\sigma(f)) = \pi_\sigma(\varphi f),\ \ f \in \mathcal{K}(G).$$

We say that φ is a σ-multiplier *if M_φ is bounded w.r.t. the operator norm on $\mathbb{C}(G,\sigma)$, in which case we also denote by M_φ the (unique) extension of M_φ to a bounded linear map from $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ into itself. Note that M_φ is then uniquely determined by*

$$M_\varphi(\Lambda_\sigma(g)) = \varphi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g), \quad g \in G.$$

We denote by $MA(G,\sigma)$ the set of all σ-multipliers on G. Clearly $MA(G,\sigma)$ is a subspace of $\ell^\infty(G)$ containing $\mathcal{K}(G)$. We set $MA(G) = MA(G,1)$, in accordance with the existing literature.

Adapting the arguments of Haagerup-de Cannière given in the proof of [15, Proposition 1.2], one can show the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let φ be a complex function on G. Then $\varphi \in MA(G,\sigma)$ if and only if there exists a (unique) normal operator $\tilde{M}_\varphi$ from $vN(G,\sigma)$ to $vN(G,\sigma)$ such that*

$$\tilde{M}_\varphi(\Lambda_\sigma(g)) = \varphi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g), \quad g \in G,$$

in which case we have $\|M_\varphi\| = \|\tilde{M}_\varphi\|$.

Further, $MA(G,\sigma)$ is a Banach space w.r.t. the norm $|||\varphi||| := \|M_\varphi\|$.

While one implication in the first statement above is trivially true, the converse requires some work. As we won't need this result in the sequel, we skip the details. Note however that in the course of the proof, one identifies the predual of $vN(G,\sigma)$ with a certain space $A(G,\sigma)$ of functions on G, corresponding to the Fourier algebra in the untwisted case (cf. [34]), and establishes that $MA(G,\sigma)$ multiplies $A(G,\sigma)$ into itself. This explains the terminology and the notation.

Still following Haagerup-de Cannière [15], one may also introduce the twisted analogue of their concept of *completely bounded* multipliers :

$$M_0A(G,\sigma) := \{\varphi \in MA(G,\sigma) \mid M_\varphi \text{ is a completely bounded map}\}$$

and equip this space with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{cb} = \|M_\varphi\|_{cb}$. Concerning completely bounded maps between C*-algebras, we refer to [77, 80]. We set $M_0A(G) = M_0A(G,1)$.

The existence of completely bounded multipliers is well known in the untwisted case. Letting $P(G)$ denote the cone of all p.d. functions on G and $B(G) = \mathrm{Span}(P(G))$ be the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of G, then we have for example $B(G) \subseteq M_0A(G)$ (see [42, 15, 24, 80]). We recall that $B(G)$ consists of all the matrix coefficients of the unitary representations of G and that it may be identified with the dual space of $C^*(G)$. The norm of $\varphi \in B(G)$ as an element of the dual of $C^*(G)$ being denoted by $\|\varphi\|$, one has $|||\varphi||| \leq \|\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \|\varphi\|$. If $\varphi \in P(G)$, then $|||\varphi||| = \|\varphi\| = \varphi(e)$. Note also that G is amenable if and only if $B(G) = MA(G)$, if and only if $B(G) = M_0A(G)$ (see [67, 9]).

Completely bounded multipliers are closely related to (Herz-)Schur multipliers (see [11, 80]). We recall that a kernel $K : G \times G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *Schur multiplier* on $B(\ell^2(G))$ if for every $A \in B(\ell^2(G))$ with associated matrix $[A(s,t)]$ w.r.t. to the canonical basis of $\ell^2(G)$, the matrix $[K(s,t)A(s,t)]$ also represents a bounded operator on $\ell^2(G)$, denoted by $S_K(A)$. When K is a Schur multiplier, then the associated linear operator S_K from $B(\ell^2(G))$ into itself is necessarily bounded. Moreover, S_K is then completely bounded, with $\|S_K\|_{cb} = \|S_K\|$ (see [80, Theorem 5.1]).

Let now $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and K_φ be the kernel on $G \times G$ given by $K_\varphi(s,t) = \varphi(st^{-1})$. Then $\varphi \in M_0A(G)$ if and only if K_φ is a Schur multiplier, in which case we have $\|\varphi\|_{cb} = \|S_{K_\varphi}\|$ (see [11] and [80, Theorem 6.4]) In fact, we will show below that $\varphi \in M_0A(G,\sigma)$ may be characterized in the same way. Especially, we have:

Proposition 4.3. *$M_0A(G,\sigma) = M_0A(G)$ (and the cb-norm of $\varphi \in M_0A(G,\sigma)$ is independent of σ).*

Proof. Let $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$. As explained above, it is enough to show that $\varphi \in M_0A(G,\sigma)$ if and only if K_φ is a Schur multiplier, and that in this case we have $\|\varphi\|_{cb} = \|S_{K_\varphi}\|$.

Let K_φ be a Schur multiplier. Then one computes that

$$[S_{K_\varphi}(\Lambda_\sigma(g))(s,t)] = [\varphi(st^{-1})\sigma(st^{-1},t)\delta_g(st^{-1})] = [M_\varphi(\Lambda_\sigma(g))(s,t)]$$

for all $g,s,t \in G$. It follows that the restriction of S_{K_φ} to $\mathbb{C}(G,\sigma)$ is equal to M_φ. Especially, this means that M_φ has a bounded extension to $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$, hence that $\varphi \in MA(G,\sigma)$. Moreover, as S_{K_φ} is completely bounded, M_φ is then also completely bounded, and $\|\varphi\|_{cb} = \|M_\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \|S_{K_\varphi}\|_{cb} = \|S_{K_\varphi}\|$.

Conversely, assume that $\varphi \in M_0A(G,\sigma)$. From the fundamental factorization theorem for c.b. maps (see [77, 80]), there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a unital $*$-homomorphism π from $B(\mathcal{H})$ into itself, and operators T_1 and T_2 from $\ell^2(G)$ into $\mathcal{H}$ with $\|T_1\|\|T_2\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{cb}$, such that $M_\varphi(x) = T_2^*\pi(x)T_1$ for all $x \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(st^{-1}) &= \varphi(st^{-1})\,(\delta_s,\delta_s) = \varphi(st^{-1})\,(\overline{\sigma(st^{-1},t)}\Lambda_\sigma(st^{-1})\delta_t,\delta_s)\\
&= \overline{\sigma(st^{-1},t)}\,(M_\varphi(\Lambda_\sigma(st^{-1}))\delta_t,\delta_s) = \overline{\sigma(st^{-1},t)}\,(T_2^*\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(st^{-1}))T_1\delta_t,\delta_s)\\
&= \overline{\sigma(st^{-1},t)}\,(\overline{\sigma(s,t^{-1})}\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(s))\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(t^{-1}))T_1\delta_t,T_2\delta_s)\\
&= \overline{\sigma(s,t^{-1})\sigma(st^{-1},t)}\,(\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(t^{-1}))T_1\delta_t,\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(s))^*T_2\delta_s)\\
&= \overline{\sigma(t^{-1},t)\sigma(s,e)}\,(\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(t^{-1}))T_1\delta_t,\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(s))^*T_2\delta_s)\\
&= (\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(t))^*T_1\delta_t,\pi(\Lambda_\sigma(s))^*T_2\delta_s)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s,t \in G$. Hence, setting $\eta_j(s) = \pi(\Lambda_\sigma(s))^*T_j\delta_s \in \mathcal{H}$ for $j = 1,2$, we get $\varphi(st^{-1}) = (\eta_1(t),\eta_2(s))$ for all $s,t \in G$, and

$$\sup_{s\in G}\|\eta_1(s)\|\,\sup_{s\in G}\|\eta_2(s)\| \leq \|T_1\|\|T_2\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{cb}.$$

From Grothendieck's theorem [80, Theorem 5.1], we then deduce that K_φ is a Schur multiplier satisfying $\|\mathcal{S}_{K_\varphi}\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{cb}$. Altogether, the assertion clearly follows. □

We don't know whether the equality $MA(G,\sigma) = MA(G)$ always holds.

Corollary 4.4. *We have $P(G) \subseteq B(G) \subseteq M_0A(G,\sigma)$. If $\varphi \in P(G)$, then we have $|||\varphi||| = \|\varphi\|_{cb} = \varphi(e)$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.3 and the facts recalled before its statement. As we will use the last statement several times in the sequel, we also give a direct proof. Let $\varphi \in P(G)$. Then write $\varphi(\cdot) = (V(\cdot)\eta,\eta)$ for

some unitary representation V of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Let W be the unitary operator on $\ell^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ given by

$$(W\psi)(g) = V(g)\psi(g),\ g \in G, \psi \in \ell^2(G, \mathcal{H}).$$

Then one computes that $W^*(\Lambda_\sigma(g) \otimes V(g))W = \Lambda_\sigma(g) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all $g \in G$. This is the twisted version (cf. [4, Prop. 2.2]) of usual Fell's absorbing property ([28, 13.1.3]).

Next, let $T : \ell^2(G) \to \ell^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ be given by $T(\xi) = \xi \otimes \eta$. Then T is linear, bounded and $T^*(\xi' \otimes \eta') = (\eta', \eta)\xi'$.

Now, define $M : C_r^*(G, \sigma) \to B(\ell^2(G))$ by

$$M(x) = T^*W(x \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})W^*T.$$

Then M is a completely positive map (see [77]) and

$$(*) \quad \|M\| = \|M\|_{cb} = \|M(I)\| = \|T^*T\| = \|\eta\|^2 = \varphi(e).$$

Furthermore, for $g \in G, \xi \in \ell^2(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Lambda_\sigma(g))\xi &= T^*W(\Lambda_\sigma(g) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})W^*T\xi = T^*(\Lambda_\sigma(g)\xi \otimes V(g)\eta) \\ &= (V(g)\eta, \eta)\Lambda_\sigma(g)\xi = \varphi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)\xi \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that M is a c.b. extension of M_φ and the last statement follows from $(*)$. □

Remark 4.5. *$\ell^2(G) \subseteq M_0A(G, \sigma)$ (with $\|\varphi\|_{cb} \leq \|\varphi\|_2$). This is easy to see directly, but also follows from Proposition 4.3 (as $\ell^2(G) \subseteq B(G)$).*

Now, to prepare for our study of summation processes in the next section, consider $\varphi \in MA(G, \sigma)$ and $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$. Then $\widehat{M_\varphi(x)} = \varphi\widehat{x}$.
Indeed, if $x \in \mathbb{C}(G, \sigma)$, this is trivial; otherwise the statement follows immediately from a density argument. Hence, the Fourier series of $M_\varphi(x)$ is $\sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$. This series does not necessarily converge in operator norm, but if for example $\varphi \in \ell^2(G)$, then it does, since $\varphi\widehat{x} \in \ell^1(G)$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 4.6. *We let $MCF(G, \sigma)$ denote the set of all complex functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the series $\sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ converges in operator norm for all $x \in C_r^*(G, \sigma)$.*

At least, we know that $\ell^2(G) \subseteq MCF(G, \sigma)$. Further, we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. *$MCF(G,\sigma) \subseteq MA(G,\sigma)$. Moreover,*

$$MCF(G,\sigma) = \{\varphi \in MA(G,\sigma) \mid M_\varphi \text{ maps } C_r^*(G,\sigma) \text{ into } CF(G,\sigma)\}$$

and if $\varphi \in MCF(G,\sigma)$, then $\sum_{g\in G} \varphi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ converges to $M_\varphi(x)$ in operator norm for all $x \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in MCF(G,\sigma)$. Define a linear map $M'_\varphi : C_r^*(G,\sigma) \to C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ by

$$M'_\varphi(x) = \sum_{g\in G} \varphi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g).$$

Using the closed graph theorem, one gets that M'_φ is bounded. Indeed, assume $x_n \to x$ and $M'_\varphi(x_n) \to y$ in $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$. Then $\widehat{M'_\varphi(x_n)} = \varphi\widehat{x_n} \to \varphi\widehat{x} = \widehat{M'_\varphi(x)}$ pointwise on G and also $\widehat{M'_\varphi(x_n)} \to \widehat{y}$ pointwise on G. Hence, $\widehat{M'_\varphi(x)} = \widehat{y}$, so $M'_\varphi(x) = y$, as desired.

As $M'_\varphi(\Lambda_\sigma(g)) = \varphi(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ for all $g \in G$, this implies that M'_φ is a bounded extension of M_φ from $\mathbb{C}(G,\sigma)$ to $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$. Hence $\varphi \in MA(G,\sigma)$, and the first statement is proven. As $\widehat{M_\varphi(x)} = \varphi\widehat{x}$ for all $x \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$, the last assertion follows. □

Inspired by [42, Lemma 1.7], we can produce other examples of multipliers in $MCF(G,\sigma)$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let G be κ-decaying with decay constant C.*
Let $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_\kappa^\infty$ and set $K = \|\psi\|_{\infty,\kappa}$. Then $\psi \in MCF(G,\sigma)$ with $|||\psi||| \leq CK$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.7 we know that (G,σ) is κ-decaying. Moreover, from the proof of Proposition 3.3, we see that $\|\pi_\sigma(f)\| \leq C\|f\|_{2,\kappa}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, where C is given as above.

Now, let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then

$$\|\psi f\|_{2,\kappa} = \|\psi f \kappa\|_2 \leq \|\psi\kappa\|_\infty \|f\|_2 = K\|f\|_2 \leq K\|\pi_\sigma(f)\|.$$

Hence we get

$$\|M_\psi(\pi_\sigma(f))\| = \|\pi_\sigma(\psi f)\| \leq C\|\psi f\|_{2,\kappa} \leq CK\|\pi_\sigma(f)\|.$$

Thus M_ψ is bounded with $\|M_\psi\| \leq CK$. Especially, $\psi \in MA(G,\sigma)$ and it remains only to show that $\psi \in MCF(G,\sigma)$.

Let $x \in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$. As $\|\psi\widehat{x}\|_{2,\kappa} \leq K\|\widehat{x}\|_2 < \infty$, we have $\widehat{M_\psi(x)} = \psi\widehat{x} \in \mathcal{L}_\kappa^2$. From the last statement in Proposition 3.7, we get that $\sum_{g\in G} \psi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ converges in operator norm, as desired. □

Remark 4.9. *Let G be κ-decaying and let $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_\kappa$. The proof of Proposition 4.8 shows in fact that $\sum_{g\in G} \psi(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ is operator norm convergent for all $x \in vN(G,\sigma)$.*

If, in addition, ψ is p.d., then it is natural to wonder whether it has the strong Feller property introduced by J.L. Sauvageot [81, 82], that is, whether $M^{**}_\psi(C^*_r(G,\sigma)^{**}) \subseteq C^*_r(G,\sigma)$. Now, one readily sees from the proof of Proposition 4.8 that there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that $\|\pi_\sigma(\psi f)\| \leq C'\|f\|_2$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and it does indeed follow that ψ has the strong Feller property (cf. [82, Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 5.2]).

5 Summation processes

We begin with some definitions.

Definition 5.1. *A net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ in $MA(G,\sigma)$ is called an* approximate multiplier unit *whenever $M_{\varphi_\alpha}(x) \to x$ in operator norm for every $x \in C^*_r(G,\sigma)$.*
Such a net is called bounded *when* $\sup_\alpha |||\varphi_\alpha||| < \infty$.

Remark 5.2. *We record the following simple but useful facts :*

1) *Assume that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is an approximate multiplier unit in $MA(G,\sigma)$. Then $\varphi_\alpha \to 1$ pointwise on G and we have $1 \leq \sup_\alpha |||\varphi_\alpha||| \leq \infty$. If $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a sequence, then $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is bounded (as follows from the uniform boundedness principle).*

2) *Let $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ be a net in $MA(G,\sigma)$. Using a straightforward $\varepsilon/3$-argument, one deduces that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a bounded approximate multiplier unit if and only if $\varphi_\alpha \to 1$ pointwise on G and $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is bounded.*

3) *If G is countable (so $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ is separable), then (mimicking the trick used to produce a countable approximate unit in a separable C^*-algebra) one can always extract a sequence from a given bounded approximate multiplier unit to produce a (bounded) countable approximate multiplier unit if necessary.*

Example 5.3. *Assume that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a net of normalized p.d. functions on G converging pointwise to 1. Then $|||\varphi_\alpha||| = 1$ for all α (cf. Corollary 4.4) and assertion 2) above gives that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a bounded approximate multiplier unit for $C_r(G,\sigma)$.*

Definition 5.4. *Let $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ be a net of complex functions on G. We say that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a* Fourier summing net for (G,σ) *if $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is an approximate multiplier unit for $C_r(G,\sigma)$ satisfying $\varphi_\alpha \in MCF(G,\sigma)$ for all α.*

Such a net gives a summation process for Fourier series of elements in $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ *: the series* $\sum_{g\in G}\varphi_\alpha(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)$ *is then convergent in operator norm for all* α*, and*

$$\sum_{g\in G}\varphi_\alpha(g)\widehat{x}(g)\Lambda_\sigma(g)\underset{\alpha}{\to}x$$

for all $x\in C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ *(w.r.t. operator norm).*

It is an open question whether one can always find a Fourier summing net for a general pair (G,σ). When G is amenable, the answer is well-known. Indeed, the following theorem was proven by Zeller-Meier in [89] (see also [33]) in the case of a net of finitely supported functions.

Theorem 5.5. *Let* G *be amenable and* $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ *be any net of normalized p.d. functions in* $\ell^2(G)$ *converging pointwise to* 1*. Then* $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ *is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for* (G,σ) *(satisfying* $|||\varphi_\alpha||| = 1$ *for all* α*).*

Proof. As $\ell^2(G)\subseteq MCF(G,\sigma)$ (cf. Section 4), this follows from Example 5.3. □

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 1.2 on Fejér summation, which may be restated as follows :

Theorem 5.6. *Let* G *be amenable and pick a Følner net* $\{F_\alpha\}$ *for* G*. Set*

$$\varphi_\alpha(g)=\frac{|gF_\alpha\cap F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|},\quad g\in G.$$

(Note that each φ_α *has finite support given by* $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi_\alpha)=F_\alpha\cdot F_\alpha^{-1}$*). Then* $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ *is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for* (G,σ) *(satisfying* $|||\varphi_\alpha||| = 1$ *for all* α*).*

Proof. Each φ_α is normalized, and the Følner condition gives that φ_α converges pointwise to 1. As $\varphi_\alpha(g)=(\lambda(g)\xi_\alpha,\xi_\alpha)$, where $\xi_\alpha := |F_\alpha|^{-1/2}\chi_{F_\alpha}$, each φ_α is positive definite. This means that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.5 and the result follows. □

We remark that N. Weaver [88] has proved this result for twisted group C^*-algebras of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, using a different approach.

Next, we turn our attention to Abel-Poisson summation and prove first Theorem 1.3. We restate it in a slightly more general form, which also incorporates Gauss summation.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $p \in \{1, 2\}$, let $|\cdot|_p$ denote the usual p-norm on G. Let $L(\cdot)$ denote either $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$ or $|\cdot|_2^2$. For each $r \in (0, 1)$, set $\varphi_r = r^L$.*

Then $\{\varphi_r\}_{r\to 1^-}$ is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for (G, σ).

Proof. It is well known and elementary that $|\cdot|_2^2$ is n.d. . Hence, $|\cdot|_2$, being the square root of $|\cdot|_2^2$, is also n.d. (see [8]). Especially, $|\cdot|_1$ is n.d. when $N = 1$, and it follows from a simple inductive argument that $|\cdot|_1$ is n.d. for all $N \geq 1$. This means that L is n.d. Hence, according to Theorem 2.20, all φ_r are p.d. Morover, one checks easily that they are square-summable and normalized. As φ_r converges pointwise to 1 when $r \to 1^-$, Theorem 5.5 applies and gives the result. □

The Gaussian case above (choosing $L(\cdot) = |\cdot|_2^2$) illustrates that one should not only consider length functions. To show the existence of summation processes for many other (nonamenable) groups, we will use the following result.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ be a net in $MA(G, \sigma)$. Assume that*

i) $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ converges pointwise to 1,

ii) $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is bounded,

iii) for each α there exists some $\kappa_\alpha : G \to [1, \infty)$ such that G is κ_α-decaying and $\{\varphi_\alpha\} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_{\kappa_\alpha}$.

Then $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for (G, σ).

Proof. Conditions i) and ii) ensure that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ is a bounded approximate multiplier unit (cf. Remark 5.2, part 2)). Further, Proposition 4.8 ensures that $\{\varphi_\alpha\} \subseteq MCF(G, \sigma)$. □

Theorem 5.9. *Let G be a countable group with the Haagerup property and L be a Haagerup function on G.*

Assume that G has polynomial H-growth (w.r.t. L). Then there exists some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(1 + tL)^{-q}\}_{t\to 0^+}$ is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for (G, σ).

More generally, assume that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L). Then $\{r^L\}_{r\to 1^-}$ is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for (G, σ).

Proof. For $p \in \mathbb{N}, t > 0$, set $\psi_{p,t} = (1 + tL)^{-p}$. For $r \in (0, 1)$, set $\varphi_r = r^L$. Then $\psi_{p,t}$ and φ_r are normalized positive definite functions on G, as follows

respectively from [8, p. 75] and from Theorem 2.20. Hence, both $\{\psi_{p,t}\}_{t\to 0^+}$ and $\{\varphi\}_{r\to 1^-}$ are bounded (cf. Example 5.3) and converge pointwise to 1.

Assume first that G has polynomial H-growth w.r.t. L. Due to Theorem 3.13, part 1), we may pick $s_0 > 0$ such that G is κ-decaying, where $\kappa = (1+L)^{s_0}$. Choose $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \geq s_0$. Clearly, $\psi_{q,t} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_\kappa$ for all $t > 0$. This means that $\{\psi_{q,t}\}_{t\to 0^+}$ satisfies all conditions in Proposition 5.8 (with $\kappa_t = \kappa$ for all $t > 0$), and the first assertion follows.

Next, assume that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L). Let $0 < r < 1$, set $\kappa_r = r^{-L}$. Then, according to Theorem 3.13, part 2), (G,σ) is κ_r-decaying. Moreover, we obviously have $\varphi_r \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_{\kappa_r}$. This means that $\{\varphi_r\}_{r\to 1^-}$ satisfies all conditions in Proposition 5.8, and the second assertion follows. □

Example 5.10. *Let G be a finitely generated free group, or a Coxeter group, with generator set S. Then the word-length L_S is a Haagerup function on G (see [20]). Further, G has polynomial H-growth w.r.t. L_S (see Example 3.12). Hence, Theorem 5.9 applies.*

Remark 5.11. *Assume that there exists a net φ_α of normalized p.d. functions on G converging pointwise to 1 and satisfying condition iii) in Proposition 5.8. Then $C^*_r(G,\sigma)$ has the strong Feller approximation property considered by Sauvageot [81, 82]: indeed, each φ_α has then the strong Feller property, cf. Remark 4.9. This observation applies to any countable group which has the Haagerup property and has subexponential H-growth w.r.t. some Haagerup function (cf. Theorem 5.9 and its proof).*

The class of groups for which the Abel-Poisson summation holds contains indeed many other groups.

Theorem 5.12. *Let G be a Gromov hyperbolic group and let L be an algebraic length function on G. Then $\{r^L\}_{r\to 1^-}$ is a (bounded) Fourier summing net for (G,σ).*

Proof. In a recent paper [69], N. Ozawa has shown that the net $\{r^L\}_{r\to 1^-}$ is c.b. bounded in $M_0A(G)$. Using Proposition 4.3, we get that this net is c.b. bounded in $M_0A(G,\sigma)$. In particular, it is bounded in $MA(G,\sigma)$. Moreover, as explained in Example 3.12, G has polynomial H-growth, hence subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L). We can now conclude the proof by proceeding in the same way as in the proof of the second statement of Theorem 5.9. □

We conclude this paper with some remarks on Fejér-like properties.

Definition 5.13. *We say that (G,σ) has the* F*ejér property if there exists a Fourier summing net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ for (G,σ) in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. If $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ converges pointwise to 1 and is bounded in $MA(G,\sigma)$, then we say that (G,σ) has the* b*ounded Fejér property. Moreover, if this net can be chosen to satisfy $|||\varphi_\alpha||| = 1$ for all α, then we say that (G,σ) has the* m*etric Fejér property.*

When $\sigma = 1$, we just talk about the corresponding Fejér property for the group G.

To motivate the use of the adjective "metric" in the metric Fejér property, we recall that a Banach space X is said to have the *Metric Approximation Property* (M.A.P.) if there exists a net of finite rank contractions on X approximating the identity map in the strong operator topology (SOT) on $B(X)$. Hence, if (G,σ) has the metric Fejér property, then $C_r^*(G,\sigma)$ has the M.A.P. We don't know whether the converse is true. In [42, Theorem 1.8], Haagerup shows that $\mathbb{F}_2$ has the metric Fejér property, hence that $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has the M.A.P. (despite the fact that $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is not nuclear).

Theorem 5.6 shows that (G,σ) has the metric Fejér property whenever G is amenable. It is not unlikely that this is still true whenever G has the Haagerup property. In fact, in the untwisted case, a conjecture of M. Cowling (see [20]) says that any (countable) group G with the Haagerup property is *weakly amenable* [26] with CH-contant equal to 1, that is, there exists a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)$ converging pointwise to 1 such that $\sup_\alpha \|\varphi_\alpha\|_{cb} = 1$. Cowling's conjecture (which also may be formulated in the locally compact case) has been verified in a number of cases (see e.g. [26, 25, 85, 52, 20, 49]).

The following result generalizes [42, Theorem 1.8] (see also [56] and [14]).

Theorem 5.14. *Assume that the following conditions hold:*

(i) There exists a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ in $MA(G,\sigma)$ converging pointwise to 1 and satisfying $|||\varphi_\alpha||| = 1$ for all α.

(ii) For each α there exists a function $\kappa_\alpha : G \to [1,+\infty)$ such that G is κ_α-decaying and $\varphi_\alpha\kappa_\alpha \in c_0(G)$.

Then (G,σ) has the metric Fejér property.

Proof. Clearly, $\varphi_\alpha \neq 0$ for all α. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (ii), we can pick a finite subset $A_{\alpha,n}$ of G such that $|\varphi_\alpha\kappa_\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ outside $A_{\alpha,n}$. If necessary, we enlarge $A_{\alpha,n}$ to include at least one element where φ_α is nonzero. Set $\varphi_{\alpha,n} = \varphi_\alpha\chi_{A_{\alpha,n}}$. Then

$$\|(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_{\alpha,n})\kappa_\alpha\|_\infty = \sup\{|(\varphi_\alpha\kappa_\alpha)(g)|\ ,\ g \notin A_{\alpha,n}\} \leq \frac{1}{n}\ .$$

Using Proposition 4.8, we get that $(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_{\alpha,n}) \in MA(G,\sigma)$ and

$$|||\varphi_\alpha - \varphi_{\alpha,n}||| \leq \frac{C_\alpha}{n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

where C_α denotes the decay constant of G w.r.t. κ_α.

So, setting $\psi_{\alpha,n} = \frac{1}{|||\varphi_{\alpha,n}|||}\varphi_{\alpha,n}$, we have $|||\psi_{\alpha,n}||| = 1$ and $|||\psi_{\alpha,n} - \varphi_\alpha||| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now, using (i), we have $M_{\varphi_\alpha} \to \mathrm{Id}$ in the SOT on $B(C_r^*(G,\sigma))$. It follows easily that $\mathrm{Id} \in \{M_{\psi_{\alpha,n}} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda, n \in \mathbb{N}\}^{-\mathrm{SOT}}$. The existence of a net $\{\psi_\beta\}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)$ converging pointwise to 1 and satisfying $|||\psi_\beta||| = 1$ for all β is then clear. Hence, (G,σ) has the metric Fejér property □

Corollary 5.15. *Assume that G is countable and has the Haagerup property. If there exists a Haagerup function L on G such that G has subexponential H-growth (w.r.t. L), then (G,σ) has the metric Fejér property.*

Proof. Assumptions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 5.14 hold with $\varphi_r = r^L$ and $\kappa_r = (r^{-1/2})^L$, $0 < r \to 1^-$, and the result follows. □

N. Ozawa has recently shown [69] that all Gromov hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable, hence especially they have the bounded Fejér property. In a certain sense, "most" finitely presented groups are Gromov hyperbolic (see [68]). However, not all groups have the bounded Fejér property. This follows from an unpublished work of Haagerup [43], where he considers the group H obtained by forming the standard semi-direct product of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and shows that H is not weakly amenable by actually proving that H does not have the bounded Fejér property (see [31] for the continuous version of this result). But note that H, which does not have the Haagerup property, does have the Fejér property: this follows from [44], where Haagerup and Kraus show that H satisfies a certain approximation property, called AP, which is stronger than the Fejér property. It is conceivable that $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ does not have the Fejér property. Haagerup and Kraus conjecture in [44] that $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ fails to have the AP, but this is still open as far as we know.

Acknowledgements. This work started while the second author was visiting the University of Oslo in April 2005, in connection with the SUPREMA-program. It was continued during a second visit, in April/May 2006. He wishes to thank all the members of the Operator Algebras group in Oslo for the kind invitations and hospitality.

Both authors would also like to thank the referees for their valuable comments after reading previous drafts of this paper, and for their suggestions concerning the literature on this subject.

References

[1] C.A. Akemann, P.A. Ostrand: Computing norms in group C^*-algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 1015–1047.

[2] N. B. Backhouse: Projective representations of space groups, II: Factor systems, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **21** (1970), 223-242.

[3] N. B. Backhouse, C. J. Bradley: Projective representations of space groups, I: Translation groups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **21** (1970), 203-222.

[4] E. Bédos, R. Conti: On infinite tensor products of projective unitary representations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **34** (2004), 467–493.

[5] E. Bédos, R. Conti: Fourier series and twisted C*-crossed products. *In preparation.*

[6] J. Bellissard: Gap labelling theorems for Schrödinger operators. In "From number theory to physics (Les Houches, 1989)", 538–630, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[7] J. Bellissard: The noncommutative geometry of aperiodic solids. In "Geometric and topological methods for quantum field theory (Villa de Leya, 2001)", 86–156, World Scientific, 2003.

[8] C. Berg, J.P. Reus Christensen, P. Ressel: Harmonic analysis on semigroups. GTM **100**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1984.

[9] M. Bożejko: Positive definite bounded matrices and a characterisation of amenable groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 357–360.

[10] M. Bożejko: Positive-definite kernels, length functions on groups and a noncommutative von Neumann inequality, *Studia Math.* **XCV** (1989), 107–118.

[11] M. Bożejko and G. Fendler : Herz-Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6)***3** (1984), 297-302.

[12] M. Bożejko, T. Januszkiewicz, R. J. Spatzier: Infinite Coxeter groups do not have Kazhdan's property, *J. Operator Theory* **19** (1988), 63–67.

[13] M. Bożejko, M. A. Picardello: Weakly amenable groups and amalgameted products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 1039–1046.

[14] J. Brodzki, G. Niblo: Approximation properties for discrete groups. In "C^*-algebras and elliptic theory", Trends in Mathematics, 23–35. Birkhauser (2006).

[15] J. de Cannière, U. Haagerup: Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups, *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985), 455–500.

[16] A.L. Carey, K.A. Hannabuss, V. Mathai, P. McCann: Quantum Hall effect on the hyperbolic plane, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **190** (1998), 629–673.

[17] I. Chatterji: Twisted rapid decay. Appendix to [65].

[18] I. Chatterji, K. Ruane: Some geometric groups with rapid decay. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15**(2005), 311–339.

[19] X. Chen, S. Wei: Spectral invariant subalgebras of reduced crossed product C^*-algebras , *J. Funct. Anal.* **197** (2003), 228–246.

[20] P.-A. Cherix, M. Cowling, P. Jolissaint, P. Julg, A. Valette: Groups with the Haagerup property. Gromov's a-T-menability. Progress in Mathematics, **197**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

[21] J. M. Cohen: Operator norms in free groups, *Bollettino U.M.I.* **1**-B (2003), 1055–1065.

[22] A. Connes: Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **9** (1989), 207–220.

[23] A. Connes: Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press, New York, 1994.

[24] M. Cowling: Sur les coefficients des représentations des groupes Lie simples. *Lect. Notes in Math.* **739** (1979), 132–178.

[25] M. Cowling: Harmonic analysis on some nilpotent Lie groups (with applications to the representation theory of some semisimple Lie groups). In "Topics in modern harmonic analysis", Vol. I, II (Turin/Milan, 1982), 81–123, Ist. Naz.Alta Mat. Francesco Severi, Rome, 1983.

[26] M. Cowling, U. Haagerup: Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent. Math.* **96** (1989), 507–549.

[27] K. R. Davidson: C^*-algebras by examples. Fields Institute Monographs, 6. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[28] J. Dixmier: Les C^*-algèbres et leurs représentations. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[29] J. Dixmier: Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann). Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[30] J. Dixmier: Topologie générale. PUF, Paris, 1981.

[31] B. Dorofaeff: The Fourier algebra of $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, has no multiplier unit. *Math. Ann.* **297** (1993), 707–724.

[32] E. G. Effros and Z. -J. Ruan: Multivariable multiplier for groups and their operator algebras. In *Operator theory: operator algebras and their applications, Part I (Durham, NH, 1988)*, vol. 51 of Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 197–218, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.

[33] R. Exel: Hankel matrices over right ordered amenable groups. *Can. Math. Bull.* **33** (1990), 404–415.

[34] P. Eymard: L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92** (1964), 181–236.

[35] G. Fendler: Simplicity of the reduced C^*-algebras of certain Coxeter groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **47** (2003), 883–897.

[36] G. Fendler, K. Gröchenig, M. Leinert: Symmetry of weighted L^1-algebras and the GRS-condition. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), 625–635.

[37] A. Figà-Talamanca, M.A. Picardello: Harmonic Analysis on free groups. Lectures Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **87**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.

[38] V. Flory: Estimating norms in C^*-algebras of discrete groups, *Math. Ann.* **224** (1976), 41-52.

[39] E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe: Sur les groupes hyperboliques d' après Mikhael Gromov. Progress in Math. 83, Birkhaüser, 1990.

[40] K. Gröchenig, M. Leinert: Wiener's lemma for twisted convolution and Gabor frames. *Journ. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (2004), 1–18.

[41] M. Gromov: Hyperbolic groups. *M.S.R.I. Publ.* **8** (1987), 75–263.

[42] U. Haagerup: An example of a nonnuclear C^*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1978/79), 279–293.

[43] U. Haagerup: Group C^*-algebras without the completely bounded approximation property. Unpublished manuscript, 1986.

[44] U. Haagerup, J. Kraus: Approximation properties for group C^*-algebras and group von Neumann algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **344** (1994), 667–699.

[45] P. de la Harpe: Groupes de Coxeter infinis non affines. *Expo. Math* **5** (1987), 91–96.

[46] P. de la Harpe: Groupes hyperboliques, algèbres d' opérateurs et un théorème de Jolissaint. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **307** (1988), 771–774.

[47] P. de la Harpe: Topics in Geometric Group Theory. (Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series). The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London (2000).

[48] N. Higson, E. Guentner: Group C^*-algebras and K-theory. Noncommutative geometry, 137-251, Lecture Notes in Math., **1831**, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[49] N. Higson, E. Guentner: Weak amenability of CAT(0)-cubical groups. Preprint, 2007.

[50] R. B. Howlett: On the Schur multipliers of Coxeter groups, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38** (1988), 263–276.

[51] J. E. Humphreys: Reflection groups and Coxeter groups. Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[52] T. Januszkiewicz : For Coxeter groups $z^{|g|}$ is a coefficient of a uniformly bounded representation. *Fund. Math.* **174** (2002), 79–86.

[53] R. Ji, L. Schweitzer: Spectral invariance of smooth crossed products, and rapid decay locally compact groups. *K-Theory* **10** (1996), 283–305.

[54] P. Jolissaint: Rapidly decreasing functions in reduced C^*-algebras of groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **317** (1990), 167–196.

[55] P. Jolissaint: K-theory of reduced C^*-algebras and rapidly decreasing functions on groups. *K-Theory* **2** (1990), 167–196.

[56] P. Jolissaint, A. Valette: Normes de Sobolev et convoluteurs bornés sur $L^2(G)$, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **41** (1991), 797–822.

[57] A. Kleppner: The structure of some induced representations, *Duke Math. J.* **29** (1962), 555–572.

[58] A. Kleppner: Multipliers on Abelian groups, *Math. Ann.* **158**, 11–34 (1965).

[59] M. Leinert: Faltungsoperatoren auf gewissen diskreten Gruppen, *Studia Math.* **LII** (1974), 149–158.

[60] F. Luef: Gabor analysis, noncommutative tori and Feichtinger's algebra. Preprint (2005). ArXiv:math/0504146v1.

[61] F. Luef: On spectral invariance of non-commutative tori. In *Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications*, 131–146, Contemp. Math., 414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[62] G. Mackey: Unitary representations of group extensions I, *Acta Math.* **99** (1958), 265–311.

[63] M. Marcolli, V. Mathai: Twisted index theory on good orbifolds, I: noncommutative Bloch theory. *Comm. in Contemp. Math.* **1** (1999), 553–587.

[64] M. Marcolli, V. Mathai: Towards the fractional quantum Hall effect : a noncommutative geometry perspective. Preprint (2005).

[65] V. Mathai: Heat kernels and the range of the trace on completions of twisted group algebras. With an appendix by Indira Chatterji. *Contemp. Math.* **398** (2006), 321–345.

[66] R. Mercer: Convergence of Fourier series in discrete crossed products of von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94** (1985), 254–258.

[67] C. Nebbia: Multipliers and asymptotic behaviour of the Fourier algebra of nonamenable groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1982), 549–554.

[68] A. Yu. Ol'shanskiĭ: Almost every group is hyperbolic. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **2** (1992), 1–17.

[69] N. Ozawa: Weak amenability of hyperbolic groups. Preprint (2007).

[70] N. Ozawa, M. Rieffel: Hyperbolic group C^*-algebras and free-product C^*-algebras as compact quantum metric spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **57** (2005), 1056–1079.

[71] J. A. Packer: C^*-algebras generated by projective representations of the discrete Heisenberg group, *J. Operator Th.* **18** (1987), 41–66.

[72] J. A. Packer: Twisted group C^*-algebras corresponding to nilpotent discrete groups, *Math. Scand.* **64** (1989), 109–122.

[73] J. A. Packer, I. Raeburn: Twisted crossed product of C^*-algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **106** (1989), 293–311.

[74] J. A. Packer, I. Raeburn: Twisted crossed product of C^*-algebras II, *Math. Ann.* **287** (1990), 595–612.

[75] J. A. Packer, I. Raeburn: On the structure of twisted group C^*-algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **334** (1992), 685–718.

[76] A. Paterson: Amenability, Math. Surveys and Monographs 29, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.

[77] V. Paulsen: Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[78] M. A. Picardello: Positive definite functions and L^p convolutions operators on amalgams, *Pac. J. Math.* **123** (1986), 209–221.

[79] J. P. Pier: Amenable locally compact groups, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1984.

[80] G. Pisier: Similarity problems and completely bounded maps. Second, expanded edition. Lect. Notes in Math. 1618, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[81] J.-L. Sauvageot: Strong Feller noncommutative kernels, strong Feller semigroups and harmonic analysis. Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome, 1996), 105–110, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.

[82] J.-L. Sauvageot: Strong Feller semigroups on C^*-algebras. *J. Operator Theory* **42** (1999), 83–102.

[83] L. B. Schweitzer: Dense m-convex Fréchet subalgebras of operator algebra crossed products by Lie groups, *International J. Math.* **4** (1993), 601–673.

[84] A. Valette: Les représentations uniformement bornées associées à un arbre réel. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Ser. A* **42** (1990), 747–760.

[85] A. Valette: Weak amenability of right-angled Coxeter groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993), 1331–1334.

[86] A. Valette: Introduction to the Baum-Connes conjecture. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.

[87] S. Wagon: The Banach-Tarski paradox. Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl. **24**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.

[88] N. Weaver: Lipschitz algebras and derivations of von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. analysis* **139** (1996), 261–300.

[89] G. Zeller-Meier: Produits croisés d'une C^*-algèbre par un groupe d'automorphismes, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **47** (1968), 101–239.